South Carolina Department of Corrections Profile of Inmates in Institutional Count (Including Inmates on Authorized Absence) as of June 30, 2011

| Characteristics | Male Population | | Female P | opulation | Total Po | pulation |
|--|-----------------|------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| TOTAL Inmates Population | 21,824 | | 1,4 | 182 | 23,306 | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| Current Age | | | | | | |
| Average Current Age | 35 | 5.9 | 37 | 7.0 | 36 | 5.0 |
| 21 & Under | 1,704 | 8% | 59 | 4% | 1,763 | 8% |
| 22-25 | 2,827 | 13% | 161 | 11% | 2,988 | 13% |
| 26-35 | 7,428 | 34% | 484 | 33% | 7,912 | 34% |
| 36-55 | 8,528 | 40% | 708 | 48% | 9,236 | 40% |
| 56 and Over | 1,337 | 6% | 70 | 5% | 1,407 | 6% |
| Average Age at Admission | 31 | 1.1 | 33 | 3.8 | 31 | .2 |
| Race | | | | | | |
| Black | 14,427 | 66% | 637 | 43% | 15,064 | 65% |
| White | 6,835 | 31% | 821 | 55% | 7,656 | 33% |
| Other | 562 | 3% | 24 | 2% | 586 | 3% |
| Marital Status (Self-reported) | | | | | | |
| Single | 13,547 | 62% | 681 | 46% | 14,228 | 61% |
| Married | 6,427 | 29% | 584 | 39% | 7,011 | 30% |
| Divorced | 1,599 | 7% | 162 | 11% | 1,761 | 8% |
| Widowed | 251 | 1% | 55 | 4% | 306 | 1% |
| Sentencing Data/Criminal History | | | | | | |
| Admission Type: | | | | | | |
| New | 17,006 | 78% | 1,251 | 84% | 18,257 | 78% |
| Revocator | 4,472 | 20% | 228 | 15% | 4,700 | 20% |
| Other | 346 | 1% | 3 | 0.2% | 349 | 1% |
| With Current Violent Offense | 13,162 | 60% | 595 | 40% | 13,757 | 59% |
| With Prior Criminal History | 14,133 | 65% | 698 | 47% | 14,831 | 64% |
| With Prior Commitments | 9,854 | 45% | 443 | 30% | 10,297 | 44% |
| Average Sentence Length in Years ¹ | 13.2 | | 8.4 | | 12.9 | |
| Average Time to Serve before Maxout ² | 5.7 3.6 | | .6 | 5.5 | | |
| Sentences One Year or Less (excl. YOA) | 777 | 4% | 152 | 10% | 929 | 4% |
| Sentences More than 20 years (excl.lifers) | 3,026 | 14% | 119 | 8% | 3,145 | 13% |
| With Life Sentence | 2,074 | 10% | 82 | 6% | 2,156 | 9% |
| With YOA/Juvenile Sentence | 1,012 | 5% | 49 | 3% | 1,061 | 5% |
| On Death Row | 52 | 0.2% | 0 | 0% | 52 | 0.2% |
| Non-Parolable (includes TIS inmates) | 11,231 | 51% | 523 | 35% | 11,754 | 50% |
| TIS Sentences | 10,943 | 50% | 519 | 35% | 11,462 | 49% |
| Special Needs | | | | | | |
| With Children ³ | 14,032 | 64% | 1,165 | 79% | 15,197 | 65% |
| With Convicted Disciplinaries in Last 12 Months | 7,469 | 34% | 301 | 20% | 7,770 | 33% |
| With Victim Witness Indicator | 12,074 | 55% | 715 | 48% | 12,789 | 55% |
| Sex Registry | 3,466 | 16% | 45 | 3% | 3,511 | 15% |
| Required DNA Testing | 21,365 | 98% | 1,354 | 91% | 22,719 | 97% |
| Chemical Dependent per SASSI/TCUDDS ⁴ | 8,629 | 40% | 776 | 52% | 9,405 | 40% |
| Education/Work | | | | | | |
| Beta IQ Score Less Than/Equal to 70 | 2,021 | 9% | 93 | 6% | 2,114 | 9% |
| Average (Latest) Reading Score | 8 | .6 | 10 | 0.0 | 8. | .7 |
| Reading Score is <12th Grade | 16,223 | 74% | 871 | 59% | 17,094 | 73% |
| Reading Score is <9th Grade | 11,227 | 51% | 478 | 32% | 11,705 | 50% |
| Average Education Level at Intake | 10 |).5 | 1(| 0.8 | 10 | .5 |
| Without HS/GED | 12,622 | 58% | 728 | 49% | 13,350 | 57% |

South Carolina Department of Corrections Profile of Inmates in Institutional Count (Including Inmates on Authorized Absence) as of June 30, 2011

| Characteristics | Male Po | Male Population | | Female Population | | Total Population | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| TOTAL Inmates Population | Population 21,824 | | 1,482 | | 23,306 | | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | |
| Leading Most Serious Offense ⁵ | | | | | | | |
| | Dang. Drugs | 18% | Dang. Drugs | 17% | Dang. Drugs | 18% | |
| | Burglary | 16% | Homicide | 16% | Burglary | 15% | |
| | Homicide | 15% | Burglary | 10% | Homicide | 15% | |
| | Robbery | 14% | Larceny | 7% | Robbery | 13% | |
| | Assault | 9% | Robbery | 7% | Assault | 9% | |
| Top Five Committing Counties | | | | | | | |
| | Greenville | 9% | Greenville | 12% | Greenville | 10% | |
| | Richland | 9% | Spartanburg | 12% | Spartanburg | 9% | |
| | Spartanburg | 9% | Horry | 7% | Richland | 9% | |
| | Charleston | 7% | Richland | 6% | Charleston | 7% | |
| | York | 6% | Lexington | 6% | York | 6% | |
| Medical/Mental Health Classification | | | | | | | |
| Medical Classification: | | | | | | | |
| No Medical Problem/No Work Restriction | 10,185 | 47% | 214 | 14% | 10,399 | 45% | |
| Has Medical Problem/No Work Restriction | 6,214 | 28% | 718 | 48% | 6,932 | 30% | |
| Has Medical Problem/Work Restriction | 5,135 | 24% | 518 | 35% | 5,653 | 24% | |
| Severe Medical Problem/Work Restriction | 164 | 1% | 3 | 0% | 167 | 1% | |
| Not Available/Unknown | 126 | 0.6% | 29 | 2.0% | 155 | 0.7% | |
| Mental Health Classification: | | | | | | | |
| No Mental Health Problem | 19,071 | 87% | 1,027 | 69% | 20,098 | 86% | |
| Mentally Retarded | 30 | 0.1% | 4 | 0.3% | 34 | 0.1% | |
| Mentally III | 2,589 | 12% | 422 | 28% | 3,011 | 13% | |
| Not Available/Unknown | 134 | 0.6% | 29 | 2.0% | 163 | 0.7% | |

¹ Excludes Lifers, Death-Row & YOA's

² Include inmates to be released to Community Supervision under Truth-in-Sentencing, excludes Lifers, Death-Row and YOA's

³ Based on inmate self-reported information at intake

⁴ Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory (SASSI) stopped being used in January 1997. Currently Texas Christian University Drug Dependency Screen (TCUDDS) is used.

⁵ To facilitate statistical reporting, SCDC determines a single "most serious offense" (MSO) for each inmate. Before August 2005, the offense with the longest sentence determined MSO. Effective August 2005, inmates' MSO is the offense with the highest severity level (i.e. offenses are classified between severity level 1 and 5, with 5 as the most severe). In cases where an inmate has multiple offenses at the same severity level, SCDC considers the category of each offense, where homicide offenses take precedence, followed by sex offenses and violent offenses. If multiple offenses exist within the same category, the offense with the longest sentence then determines MSO. Because of this definitional change, post-2005 MSO data cannot be compared to pre-2005 MSO data.

Note: Percentages may not add up due to rounding.